

## THRESHOLD POLICY – T32 SURGICAL TREATMENT OF HERNIAS

Policy author:	Ipswich and East Suffolk & West Suffolk CCG supported by Public Health Suffolk
Policy start date:	July 2011
Subsequent reviews	July 2013 May 2014 February 2017
Next review date:	February 2020

### 1. Policy Summary

- 1.1 This policy covers the management of inguinal, femoral, umbilical and incisional hernias in patients aged over 16 years, including the criteria for referral to secondary care.

### 2. Eligibility Criteria

#### 2.1 Inguinal:

- a) For asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic hernias, the CCG advocates a watchful waiting approach, under informed consent. If the patient is a smoker, stop smoking support must be offered and details of local smoking cessation support given to the patient.
- b) Surgical treatment should only be offered when one of the following criteria is met:
- Symptomatic, including pain, discomfort, nausea or persistent constipation or wind symptoms that interfere with work or activities of daily living, OR
  - The hernia is difficult or impossible to reduce, OR
  - Inguino-scrotal hernia, OR
  - The hernia increases in size month on month which raises clinical concern, OR
  - There is a history of incarceration.

#### 2.2 Femoral:

All suspected femoral hernias should be referred to secondary care due to the increased risk of incarceration/strangulation.

### 2.3 Umbilical:

- a) For asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic hernias, the CCG advocates a watchful waiting approach, under informed consent. If the patient is a smoker, stop smoking support must be offered and details of local smoking cessation support given to the patient.
- b) Surgical treatment should only be offered when one of the following criteria is met:
  - Symptomatic, including pain, discomfort, nausea or persistent constipation that interfere with work or activities of daily living, OR
  - Increase in size month on month which raises clinical concern, OR
  - To avoid incarceration or strangulation of bowel.

### 2.4 Incisional

- a) For asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic hernias, the CCG advocates a watchful waiting approach, under informed consent. If the patient is a smoker, stop smoking support must be offered and details of local smoking cessation support given to the patient.
- b) Surgical treatment should only be offered when all of the following criteria are met:
  - Symptomatic, including pain, discomfort, nausea or persistent constipation that interfere with work or activities of daily living, AND
  - Appropriate conservative management has been tried first, e.g. weight reduction where appropriate and this has not resolved the symptoms.

## 3. **Background to the Condition**

- 3.1 A hernia is defined as a protrusion through a weakness in the abdominal wall of a sac or peritoneum, often containing intestine or other abdominal contents. They usually present as a lump and patients often experience pain or discomfort that can limit daily activities and the ability to work.<sup>1</sup> In addition, hernias can present as a surgical emergency should the bowel strangulate or become obstructed due to the hernia.
- 3.2 There are many different types of hernia; those that are covered in this policy include inguinal, femoral, umbilical and incisional hernias. The symptoms may vary between patients; NHS Choices lists the following as potentially problematic:
  - a) sudden, severe pain
  - b) vomiting
  - c) difficulty passing stools (constipation) or wind
  - d) the hernia becomes firm or tender, or can't be pushed back in
- 3.3 An inguinal hernia is a protrusion of the contents of the abdominal cavity or preperitoneal fat through a hernia defects in the inguinal area. Indirect hernias follow the inguinal canal,

whereas direct hernias usually occur due to a defect or weakness in the transversalis fascia are of the Hesselbach triangle. 98% occur in men due to the vulnerability of the male anatomy<sup>1</sup>.

- 3.4 Femoral hernias follow the tract below the inguinal ligament through the femoral canal, and account for less than 10% of all groin hernias. However, due to the small size of this space through which they protrude, they frequently become incarcerated or strangulated with 40% presenting as emergencies.<sup>2</sup> The incidence of femoral hernias is higher in women than men, with a ratio of 4:1
- 3.5 The umbilical canal is bordered by the linea alba anteriorly, the umbilical fascia posteriorly and the medial edges of the rectus sheaths bilaterally. Umbilical hernias that go through the umbilicus and those that protrude above or below the umbilical ring (paraumbilical) account for 3-8.5% of all hernias. Paraumbilical hernias are five times more common in women<sup>3</sup>.
- 3.6 Incisional hernias are iatrogenic, with protrusion through a defect caused during surgery. They account for 80%<sup>4</sup> of ventral hernia, and may arise from 3-11% of all laparotomies, rising to >23% should wound infection occur. Other predisposing factors include diabetes, smoking and obesity. Again, they can give rise to symptoms such as discomfort or pain.
- 3.7 Approximate frequencies for each type of hernia are:<sup>5</sup>
  - a) Inguinal 70-75%
  - b) Femoral 6-17%
  - c) Umbilical 3-8.5%
  - d) Rarer form 1-2% (epigastric/incisional)

#### **4. Rationale to the Decision**

- 4.1 A trial carried out by Fitzgibbons<sup>6</sup> randomised 720 men to watchful waiting vs surgical repair of their inguinal hernia. It was found that results for these outcomes were similar between watchful waiting and surgical repair at two years. Although 23% of patients did cross over to the surgical group due to an increase in symptoms, there was no difference in post op complications between this group and those allocated initially to repair. Over four years only two patients experienced incarceration of their hernia. The study concluded that delaying surgical repair until symptoms increase is safe because acute incarcerations occurred rarely and there was no increase in operative complications. The BMJ clinical evidence team also advocate this approach.<sup>7</sup> The Danish hernia database<sup>8</sup> recommend surgical repair in the presence of symptoms affecting daily life. However, in men with minimal or absent symptoms, a watchful waiting approach is recommended.
- 4.2 The European Hernia society<sup>9</sup> advocates a watchful waiting approach for those who are asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic. However, those who are symptomatic should be considered for elective surgery. This approach is also in line with recommendations from other CCGs such as Cambridgeshire and Peterborough, North West London, South Worcestershire, Wyre Forest, Somerset and South Devon and Torbay.
- 4.3 Primatesta<sup>10</sup> looked at the incidence of elective and emergency surgery, readmission and mortality. He found that patients undergoing emergency hernia repair had worse post-operative outcomes and therefore recommended elective repair of inguinal hernias. In the study

by Fitzgibbons patients were operated once symptoms such as pain increased rather than waiting for strangulation.

- 4.4 A Danish study published in February 2016 of all patients from January 2009 to July 2014 electively referred to outpatients with umbilical and incisional or epigastric hernia found that watchful wait appears to be a safe strategy in the treatment of these patients<sup>11</sup>.
- 4.5 The case is different for femoral hernia repair surgery. Despite femoral hernias accounting for less than 10% of groin hernias<sup>2</sup>, 40% of these present as emergencies with incarceration or strangulation. For these reasons we have recommended that all femoral hernias should be referred for specialist assessment. This view is supported by the Danish hernia database.<sup>8</sup>
- 4.6 Friedrich et al<sup>6</sup> recommend conservative management such as weight reduction in the management of incisional hernia; with surgery to be carried out in patients who are symptomatic and have gained no benefit from conservative measures. The Society for Surgery of the Alimentary Tract<sup>12, 13</sup> advise reasons for repairing incisional hernias should include relieving symptoms, prevention of gradual enlargement over time and to avoid incarceration and strangulation of bowel.

## 5. References

1. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (2004) laparoscopic surgery for hernia repair. [TA83]. London: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence.
2. McIntosh A. Hutchinson A. Roberts A & Withers, H. Evidence-based management of groin hernia in primary care—a systematic review. *Family Practice*, 2000;17(5), 442-447.
3. GP notebook: Paraumbilical hernias. Available from:
4. <http://www.gpnotebook.co.uk/simplepage.cfm?ID=1811546097&linkID=17862&cook=no>
5. Friedrich M. Müller-Riemenschneider F. Roll S. Kulp W. Vauth C. Greiner W & von der Schulenburg JM. Health Technology Assessment of laparoscopic compared to conventional surgery with and without mesh for incisional hernia repair regarding safety, efficacy and cost-effectiveness. *GMS health technology assessment*. 2008;4.
6. Dabbas. Frequency of abdominal wall hernias: is classical teaching out of date. *JRSM Short Reports*: 2011;2/5.
7. Fitzgibbons. Watchful waiting versus repair of inguinal hernia in minimally symptomatic men, a randomised controlled trial. *JAMA*: 2006;295, 285-292
8. Purkayastha S. Chow A, Anthanasiou T, Tekkis P P & Darzi A. Inguinal hernias. *Clinical evidence*, 2008;0412, 1462-3846
9. Rosenberg J. Bisgaard T. Kehlet H. Wara P. Asmussen T. Juul P & Bay-Nielsen M. Danish Hernia Database recommendations for the management of inguinal and femoral hernia in adults. *Dan Med Bull*, 2011;58(2), C4243.
10. Simons M P. Aufenacker T. Bay-Nielsen M. Bouillot J L. Campanelli G. Conze J & Miserez, M. European Hernia Society guidelines on the treatment of inguinal hernia in adult patients. *Hernia*, 2009;13(4),343-403.
11. Primates P & Goldacre MJ. Inguinal hernia repair: incidence of elective and emergency surgery, readmission and mortality. *International journal of epidemiology*, 1996;25(4), 835-839.
12. Kokotovic D, Sjølander H, Gögenur I HF. Watchful waiting as a treatment strategy for patients with a ventral hernia appears to be safe. *Hernia*. 2016;20(2):281-287. <http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs10029-016-1464-z>.
13. Patient Care Committee, & Society for Surgery of the Alimentary Tract. Surgical repair of incisional hernias. SSAT patient care guidelines. *Journal of gastrointestinal surgery: official journal of the Society for Surgery of the Alimentary Tract*. 2004;8(3), 369.
14. The Society for Surgery of the Alimentary Tract. Surgical Repair of Groin Hernias. Available from: <http://www.ssat.com/cgi-bin/hernia6.cgi> (Accessed 16/09/2016)